# DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

KEITH NICHOLSEN,	)	
Plair	ntiff,	Case No. 3:20-cv-0023
v.	)	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
UNITED STATES OF AM SHAMALI DENNERY,	ERICA and )	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defe	endants.	
SHAMALI DENNERY,	)	
Thir	d Party Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:20-cv-0023
v.	)	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
BERNARD WESSELHOFT d/b/a Slim Mar Parking Lot,		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Thir	d Party Defendant. )	
SHAMALI DENNERY,	)	
Cros	s Claimant,	Case No. 3:20-cv-0023
v.	j	COMPLAINT FOR CONTRIBUTION
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Cros	s Defendant.	
SHAMALI DENNERY,		
Cour	nter Claimant,	Case No. 3:20-cv-0023
v.	)	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
KEITH NICHOLSEN,		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Cour	nter Defendant.	

Nicholsen v. United States et al. Case No. 3:20-cv-0023 Order Page 2 of 3

#### **ATTORNEYS:**

## **Thomas Friedberg**

Law Offices of Friedberg & Bunge San Diego, CA

For Plaintiff and Counter Defendant Keith Nicholsen and Third Party Defendant Bernard Wesselhoft

# Kimberly L. Cole

United States Attorney's Office St. Thomas, VI

For Defendant and Cross Defendant United States of America

## **Gaylin Vogel**

Law Office of Kevin F. D'Amour, PC St. Thomas, VI

For Defendant, Third Party Plaintiff, Cross Claimant, and Counter Claimant Shamali Dennery

### **ORDER**

**BEFORE THE COURT** is the motion of the United States of America (the "Government") to dismiss the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1), filed on June 22, 2020. (ECF Nos. 16). For the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion of even date, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that the United States' Motion to Dismiss the Complaint for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction, ECF No. 16, is **GRANTED**; it is further

**ORDERED** that Plaintiff Nicholsen's complaint is **DISMISSED without prejudice**; it is further

**ORDERED** that Defendant Dennery's crossclaim is **DISMISSED**; it is further

**ORDERED** that Defendant Dennery's counterclaim is **DISMISSED**; it is further

**ORDERED** that Defendant Dennery's third-party complaint is **DISMISSED**; it is further

Nicholsen v. United States et al.

Case No. 3:20-cv-0023

Order

Page 3 of 3

**ORDERED** that the Government's Motion to Dismiss Dennery's Crossclaim, ECF No.

40, is **MOOT**; it is further

**ORDERED** that the Government's motions to stay discovery, ECF Nos. 74 and No. 77,

are **MOOT**; it is further

**ORDERED** that Nicholsen's Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Opposition to

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, ECF No. 80, is **MOOT**; it is further

**ORDERED** that the Government's Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 82, is

**MOOT**; it is further

**ORDERED** that Dennery's Motion for Certification that Shamali Dennery was Acting

within the Scope of his Employment, ECF No. 88, is MOOT; it is further

**ORDERED** that Dennery's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim or in the

Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 94, is **MOOT**; it is further

**ORDERED** that the Plaintiff **SHALL** file a motion to amend the complaint, asserting a

plausible claim under the FTCA, within twenty-one (21) days of the date of entry of this

Order. Defendants **SHALL** file a response no later than fourteen (14) days after filing and

service of the motion. Plaintiff SHALL have ten (10) days after filing and service of

Defendants' response to file a reply. There shall be no further briefing without leave of the

Court.

**DATED:** March 28, 2022

/s/ Robert A. Molloy

ROBERT A. MOLLOY

**Chief Judge**